International Journal of Research inSocial Science
Vol. 11 Issue 12, December 2021,
ISSN: 2249-2496 Impact Factor: 7.081
Journal Homepage: http://www.ijmra.us, Email: editorijmie@gmail.com
Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gate as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

ATTITUDES OF PATIENTS WITH DIABETIC FOOT ULCER REGARDING FOOT CARE

Payal Parihar,
Research Scholar
Barkatullah
Dr.Kamini Jain
Principle
Government home SC P.G. Lead College Hoshangabad

ABSTRACT

Background: This study provides dissemination of diabetic foot ulcer x' data and approaches to foot care.

Methods:The relationship of a clinical office's diabetic foot to having type 2 diabetes has not been established forever, according to this illustrative survey of how many people there are. The model had a forever power assessment (95%) and was not established by the dominant Capricorn test structure. A survey structure consisting of 37 requests and carried out by the expert is used as a data aggregation tool. Before initiating the survey, ensuring the ethics driving assembly of the actual officers is obtained. The results are assessed on a 95% confidence stretch and the level of significance is rated as a p-value of <0.05.

Results: It has been determined that 67.1% (n = 49) patients participating in the audit are male, 61.6% (n = 45) are married, 95.9% (n = 70) are above 45 years of age, 63 % (n = 46) are elementary school graduates, and 23.9% (n = 17) had participated in a diabetes preparedness program. To the extent that the repetition of foot and foot vaulting, creaming, walking, shoe control, exhibiting diabetes, and lifting socks, there is a quantitatively significant difference between patients examining a diabetes instruction program and those who do so. The difference is. t ($p = 0.00 < 0.05$).

Conclusion: it has been decided that as the review reveals, most patients do not expect diabetic feet and have no dynamic view of running clear applications. In line with this, to address the paucity of patient data, it may very well be recommended that extensive and accessible orchestration should be given, the outcome of a given situation should be observed, and the organizing should be performed as standard parts by clinical caregivers. It should be underlined, however, that individuals with diabetes have a significant impact in self-limiting and monitoring positive perceptible changes.

Keywords:
Diabetic foot, patient, knowledge, attitude

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disorder characterized by insulin requirement, insulin inhibition, or a mixture of both. Diabetes mellitus is becoming more common during an ending time, with the number of people living with diabetes expected to increase from 463 million in 2019 to 700 million in 2045. Diabetic foot ulcers are one of the most serious catches. Diabetes, macrovascular tangles and microvascular injury are associated with neuropathy and ischemic issues, dealing with rectification of grafts, ulcers and gangrene and ultimately leading to catastrophe and mortality, a raging, protracted and over the top disorder [1]] Is. The overall conviction for diabetic foot ulcers has been reported to be 6.3% [3]. Individuals lose their sustenance given the complications from diabetic foot ulcers, as well as increased mortality and physical, mental and cash-related weight gain [5].

Diabetic foot ulcers are a tremendous clinical problem that requires a multidisciplinary approach and predisposes individuals to presence. The guidelines for diabetic foot ulcer repulsion and treatment strengthen the foot in the treatment of severe foot ulcers, regular foot inspections, appropriate coaching for patient, family and health care, exceptional shoe decisions, and early signs of foot ulcers [6].

The attitude of diabetic patients towards foot care in a general sense reduces the likelihood of developing ulcers. Checking for well-worn shoes, choosing the right footwear, nail clipping, and standard foot controls are just one of the foot care methods to manage acting. Furthermore, while the data for actual foot care is important, the misfortune of the data trends for the improvement of diabetic foot ulcers [7].

During different years, research has focused on diabetics' foot care statistics, approaches, and practices, looking at barriers to the pursuit of clinical care, revitalizing themselves, and making lifestyle changes as a whole. guide [10].

Diabetic foot ulcers are an issue that can be attempted to be addressed all around by avoiding any unnecessary exposure [11]. Diabetic foot ulcers data and care approach. Appropriately, diabetic foot ulcers may be seriously attempted not to likewise empower patients' data levels regarding foot care [13,14]. Diabetics, as shown by studies, have an inadequate attitude about foot care and lack of data about it [2,14-16]. 58% of patients are addressed in another review for having little data about foot care, and 61.8% have a seriously sad attitude about diabetic foot care [16]. In a study conducted in India, it is observed that a significant number of patients (12.5%) went through a gifted foot care event and had a very low view of foot care [15]. In another survey, 82.7% of patients are addressed for a reasonable level of foot care statistics, yet only 22.4% of them had amazing foot care methods [17].

Studies have further advanced the practice of diabetic foot care by supporting more advanced levels of data and elevating attitudes towards diabetic foot care [18,19]. With these revelations in mind, this study aimed to observe the data and attitudes of diabetic foot ulcer patients regarding foot care, as well as link associations with opening.

METHODS

Type of study

This study is conducted to analyze the knowledge and attitudes regarding foot care of patients with diabetic foot ulcers.

Population and sample

The survey opportunity included patients from within the universe who had consented to participate in the move, as a result of which the motivation driving the audit was ascertained and who have met the audit measures. The model size for evaluation is decided using the G*Power program's 3.1 classification, and the authentic model size is obtained using the chi-square honesty of fit test. To test whether there is any potential in the attitudes and data levels of diabetic patients with respect to foot care, the model size is actually there (2-1)*(2-1) with 1 degree of freedom and Cohen's effect. The size d = hangs for. 0.33 (since there are people who arrange and people who do not) at the 95% confidence level (α = 0.05 and two-way), 0.80 test power (1) is not established as forever 73 individuals. A pilot study was conducted with 10 patients. Patients in the pilot study were deported from view. Patients with type 2 diabetes, diabetic foot ulcers, recent years who expected to share, and patients with grades 1–4 ulcers, as demonstrated by Wagner's Get-Together, were associated with the survey. Broken lead, limit flight and patients under the age of 18 were waived from the review.

Data collection

The design used in the survey is prepared by experts according to the making [20]. There is a ratio of 37 requesting data on a grouping structure, which is separated into two sections: 16 sales on patients' area credits, such as age, course, smoking status, and type of treatment in the covert section, and 21 diabetes. Requests related to and diabetes foot care attitude in the upcoming part. Data is collected using a collection system surprisingly close with patients. Very close gathering was moderated by expert for 20 minutes.

Ethical considerations

Support is received from both the Clinical Assessment Ethics Chamber (number: 2017-52635) and the Clinical Office, where the survey will be conducted prior to initiation of profiling. After patients are given an explanation about the review, their stated and verbal consent is obtained.

Evaluation of data

All data were desensitized using IBM SPSS Assessment, Interpretation 22.0 (IBM SPSS, Authentic Social Opportunities for Anthropology, Turkey) programming. Clearly explicit methodologies (mean, center, number, rate) are used in evaluation. Chi-square tests are used for close evaluation and the free model t-test is used for quantitative association. The results are detected at a 95% confidence stretch and the level of significance is viewed as a p-value of <0.05.

RESULTS

Table 1 finds that 32.9% (n = 24) members are women, 67.1% (n = 49) are men, 61.6% (n = 45) are married, 95.9% (n = 70) are beyond 45. 46 years old, (n = 46) are elementary school graduates, 32.9% (n = 24) have resigned, 20.5% (n = 15) were smokers, 67% (n = 49) by 6 years Chronic diabetes mellitus, 46.6% (n = 34) used insulin, 90.4% (n = 66) treated ulcers, 79.5% (n = 58) had an ulcer healing procedure.

Table 1: Distribution of Descriptive Characteristics of Patients (n = 73).

Properties		**n**	**,**
gender	female	24	32.9
	Male	49	67.1
marital status	married	45	61.6
	alone	28	38.3
age	18-24	0	0
	25-31	0	0
	32-38	0	0
	39-45	3	4.1
	45 years and above	70	95.9
educational background	illiterate	5	6.8
	literate	5	6.8
	elementary school graduation	46	63.0
	high school graduates	12	16.4
	graduated from a university	5	6.8
working condition	housewife	24	32.9
	retired	24	32.9
	officer	2	2.7
	laborer	3	4.1
	other	20	27.4
smoking	Yes	15	20.5
	No	58	79.5
year of diabetes diagnosis	less than a year	8	1 1
	1 to 5 years	16	21.9
	6 to 10 years	12	16.4
	11 to 20 years	12	16.4
	over 20 years	25	34.2
diabetes treatment	diet therapy only	1	1.4
	Oral Antidiabetic Pill + Insulin	36	49.3
	insulin	34	46.6
	other	2	2.7
diabetic foot ulcer treatment	Yes	66	90.4
	No	7	9.6
leg ulcer surgery	Yes	58	79.5
	No	15	20.5

In any case, it is found in Table 2 that 23.3% (n = 17) of the members participated in the diabetes schooling program, 86.3% (n = 63) felt that diabetes had ulcers compared to others. Of the higher numbers, 80.8% (n = 59) thought that smokers with diabetes had a higher number of ulcers than non-smokers, with 94.5% thought that diabetic patients had regular foot ulcers. Of course, 90.4% are of the opinion that diabetic patients may need to have their feet amputated due to wounds.

Table 2: Patients' Information Status Regarding Foot Care (n = 73).

Properties		**n**	**,**
Participation in previous diabetes education program	Yes	17	23.3
	No	56	76.7
having more ulcers than other people	Yes	63	86.3
	No	10	13.7
Diabetic smokers have more ulcers than non-smokers	Yes	59	80.8
	No	14	19.2
Diabetics should take regular foot care	Yes	69	94.5
	No	4	5.5
Diabetics may have to amputate their feet due to foot ulcers.	Yes	66	90.4
	No	7	9.6

It is observed that 39.7% (n = 29) of audited persons go for assessment once every month, 13.7% (n = 10) perform leg and foot vaulting reliably, 64.4% (n = 10) 47) control the water temperature when washing feet, 75.3% (n = 55) control the classification change of ruptured ulcers, 75.3% (n = 55) use cream, 31.5% (n = 23) Toenail care once every week, 58.9% (n=43) keep their toenails straight and not shortened unnecessarily, 31.5% (n=23) walk reliably, 65.8% (n=48) bare Do not walk feet, 68.5% (n = 50) do not wear endless shoes without shoes. socks, 53.4% (n = 39) control their shoes, 63% go to the specialist repeatedly about foot ulcers, 56.2% (n = 41) do not know whether they have diabetes, 63 % (n = 46) wear cotton socks that do not fix their lower legs, 41.1% (n = 30) control the soles of their feet (Table 3).

Table 3: Distribution of patients' attitudes toward diabetic foot care (n = 73).

Properties		**n**	**,**
control frequency	once in a month	29	39.7
	1 time in 2 months	8	1 1
	1 time in 3 months	7	9.6
	1 time in 6 months	7	9.6
	1 time in 12 months	6	8.2
	do not go for regular check-up	16	21.9
Leg and leg gymnastics position	i never do	48	65.8
	as i want	13	17.8
	Every day	10	13.7
	once a week	2	2.7
water temperature check	Yes	47	64.4
	No	26	35.6
Checking for cracks and discoloration of ulcers	Yes	55	75.3
	No	18	24.7
use of cream	Yes	55	75.3
	No	18	24.7
how to warm feet	i wear socks	70	95.9
	i heat it with a heater	3	4.1
toe care	Every day	2	2.7
	once a week	23	31.5
	1 time in 15 days	23	31.5
	once in a month	18	24.7
	i never do	7	9.6
nail biting	straight, i cut it without making it too short	43	58.9
	I cut it on the side of the nail with the flesh-sinking places	19	26

	I cut it round, short	1 1	15.1
running frequency	i never do	24	32.9
	Every day	23	31.5
	once in 3-4 days	12	16.4
	once a week	9	12.3
	once in a month	5	6.8
barefoot position	Yes	25	34.2
	No	48	65.8
Wear shoes without socks and slippers	Yes	23	31.5
	No	50	68.5
shoe control	Yes	39	53.4
	No	17	23.3
	sometimes	17	23.3
Don't care about foot ulcers	i interfere	23	31.5
	i go to the doctor	46	63
	I do not care	4	5.5
do diabetic gymnastics	Yes	18	24.7
	No	14	19.2
	I don't know	41	56.2
choosing socks	Woolen, does not tighten the wrists	7	9.6
	Cotton does not tighten wrists	46	63
	Synthetic, easy to tighten ankles	4	5.5
	none	16	21.9
how to check the soles of the feet	i use a mirror	4	5.5
	i try to see myself	30	41.1
	i get to see someone in the family	30	41.1
	I only show up when I go to the doctor	9	12.3

DISCUSSION

Regardless of whether diabetes mellitus occurs more regularly in women, diabetic foot, one of the most exceptional complications of the disease, is more common in men [21]. In the evaluation of Porkazemi, et al. [14] and Ahmed, et al. [22] It has been observed that a significant number of patients with diabetic foot ulcers who participated in the survey are women, whereas a large proportion of the patients in this study are men. This situation can be inferred from the fact that the model given the casualty of routine preventive care methods of management acting more reliably caused diabetic foot ulcers in male patients with diabetes.

Basis show that male course, smoking, length of illness, cardiovascular disease, and poor glycemic control are major bet components for additionally promoting diabetic foot ulcers [3,18]. In diabetic patients, significantly elevated glucose leads to numerous problems [1]. In their audit, Ahmed, et al. [22] In fact the parts observed, for example, the level of preparation and pay, the length of the longer diabetes, and the family parent is confident in the progression of diabetic foot ulcers. Eroğlu and Yürügen [1] found in their outline that the majority of patients with diabetic foot ulcers had advanced age and extended length (>16 years) of diabetes. It is certain that a large proportion of the patients in this group also had diabetes for 10 years on the north side.

Preparing patients with diabetes is fundamental in preventing and treating diabetic foot ulcers. The data not only streamline the brain of a lifting approach but likewise help make the best decisions about diabetes. Patient method for managing acting clearly affects patient outcome [20].

Lack of training programs related to diabetic foot and lack of a social event system to manage treatment of surprising foot issues are among the sensible explanations behind foot intricacies in diabetics [3,18]. Attracting and enabling diabetic patients to secure the data, perspectives and capabilities to receive personalized care will enable them to do so for their self-fulfillment and reduce their reliance on others [23]. There are some assessments to propose that individuals with a predisposition to diabetic foot indicate improvements in the way they manage their sorting, outlook, and acting. In an audit conducted by Kaffee [24], he revealed that routine foot care scores for diabetic patients were monitored only in view of the system. In the survey work by Al-Hariri, et al. [18] They have observed that as a greater proportion of patients develop diabetic feet and their approach to foot care strengthens.

Ahmed, et al. [22] found in their survey that about half of the total patients with diabetic foot care have an astounding level of data. In patients with type 2 diabetes, Moradi, et al. [23] have found that giving instruction also improves foot care practices and metabolic control. Alshamari, et al. [25] have found in their design that with a good level of data and approach, a large proportion of patients are achieved. Clearly, some evaluations have shown that diabetics are missing foot care data and practices [14,26–28]. In a survey conducted by ISIL [21], it has been reported that the majority of diabetic patients have not taken diabetic foot care coaching. Most of the patients in this study do not have access to diabetic foot care, yet there is no major separation between social gatherings that have been trained to learn and clear exercises. This continuing condition can be attributed to the fact that the patients who participated in the review had data with clear applications looking at diabetes over a long period of time.

In both strong and diabetic individuals, the feet are the most vulnerable organs to injury, injury and contamination. Reduced preserved sensation in the feet and toes, sweating accidents, vascular difficulty of the extremities, and visual impedance all shifted back flow structures, dryness, and brakes considering the developmental condition [29]. Just as patients really need to make arrangements about foot care, individuals with diabetes have

an increased risk of injury and foot ulcers. Specific foot cleaning, creaming, shoe control, nail care, and various applications should generally belong to the general foot care plan of a sole. Nooral and Hindistan in their survey by no means perpetuate that patients' safe attitudes towards foot achievement are low. In the evaluation of Eroğlu and Yürügen [1], it is found that patients apply the cream and have the correct data and practice in choosing socks and shoes. In the evaluation of Kalayasi [30], it is observed that a large proportion of patients do not use creams and bite their toenails incorrectly, in any case, they wear cotton socks and wear a shoe penchant. kept high value. Patients who participate in the Diabetic Foot Care Coaching Program are acknowledged in this framework to have a truly elevated approach towards tumbling feet and legs, using creams, walking, shoe control and choosing socks. Various methods of managing acting like correcting the water temperature, really zeroing in on a foot ulcer, and toe care, regardless, don't show a demonic divide between those who have been told and who are not. The constrained summary of the proposed arrangement program for those patients who were actually reported may trace these differences. By reviewing patient data constraints, the more important diabetic foot care period of the game plan can be organized.

CONCLUSION

It has been determined that a large proportion of patients do not have diabetic foot arrangements and do not have a lifting approach about running clear applications, as shown by exposure to modalities. Also, to eliminate the data needs of patients, it may very well be recommended that comprehensive and ready-to-use preparation should be given, the results of a given orchestration should be observed, and searched by the mill. But the access should be repeated. Clinical escorts who have a huge impact on self-limiting and making positive visible change in individuals with diabetes. By limiting the lack of data with patients, potential catches can be avoided and patients' life qualities can be refreshed.

REFERENCES

1. Eroglu P, Yürügen B (2020) Knowledge levels of individuals with type 2 diabetes on foot care. Journal of Human Sciences 17: 700-709.

2. Hanley G, Chiou PY, Liu CY, Chen HM, Pfeiffer S (2020) Foot care knowledge, attitudes and practices among patients with diabetic foot and amputation in St. Kitts and Nevis. International Wound Journal 17: 1142-1152.

3. Zhang P, Lu J, Jing Y, Tang S, Zhu D, et al. (2017) Global epidemiology of diabetic foot ulceration: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Annals of medicine 49: 106-116.

4. Al-Rubeaan K, Al Derwish M, Ouizi S, Youssef AM, Subhani SN, et al. (2015) Diabetic foot complications and their risk factors from a large retrospective cohort study. PLoS One10: e0124446.

5. Hoban C, Sareen J, Henriksen CA, Kuzyk L, Embil JM, et al. (2015) Mental health issues associated with foot complications of diabetes mellitus. Foot Ankle Surg 21: 49-55.

6. Schaper NC, Van Netten JJ, Apelqvist J, Lipsky BA, Bakker K, et al. (2016) Prevention and management of foot problems in diabetes: A Summary guidance

for daily practice 2015, based on the IWGDF guidance documents. Diabetes Metabolism Res Rev 32: 7-15.

7. Bohorquez Robles R, Compeán Ortiz LG, González Quirarte NH, Berry DC, Aguilera Pérez P, et al. (2017) Knowledge and practices of diabetes foot care and risk of developing foot ulcers in México may have implications for patients of Méxican heritage living in the US. Diabetes Educ 43: 297-303.

8. Bonner T, Foster M, Spears-Lanoix E (2016) Type 2 diabetes-related foot care knowledge and foot self-care practice interventions in the United States: A systematic review of the literature. Diabetic Foot Ankle 7: 29758.

9. Beiranvand S, Fayazi S, Asadizaker M (2015) Effect of educational programs on the knowledge, attitude, and practice of foot care in patients with diabetes. Jundishapur Journal of Chronic Disease Care 4:

10. Mustafa A, Iqbal M, Parvez MA (2017) Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practices of diabetics regarding their foot care. Annals of Punjab Medical College (APMC) 11: 43-47.

11. Bus SA, van Netten JJ (2016) A shift in priority in diabetic foot care and research: 75% of foot ulcers are preventable. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 32: 195-200.

12. Syed F, Arif MA, Afzal M, Niazi R, Ramzan A, et al. (2019) Foot-care behaviour amongst diabetic patients attending a federal care hospital in Pakistan. J Pak Med Assoc 69: 58-63.

13. Haq NU, Durrani P, Nasim A, Riaz S (2017) Assessment of knowledge and practice of diabetes mellitus patients regarding foot care in Tertiary Care Hospitals in Quetta, Pakistan. Specialty J Med Res Health Sci 2: 35-43.

14. Pourkazemi A, Ghanbari A, Khojamli M, Balo H, Hemmati H, et al. (2020) Diabetic foot care: Knowledge and practice. BMC Endocrine Disorders 20: 40.

15. Kishore S, Upadhyay AD, Jyotsna VP (2015) Awareness of foot care among patients with diabetes attending a tertiary care hospital. Natl Med J India 28: 122-125.

16. Muhammad-Lutfi AR, Zaraihah MR, Anuar-Ramdhan IM (2014) Knowledge and practice of diabetic foot care in an in- patient setting at a Tertiary Medical Center. Malays Orthop J 8: 22-26.

17. Magbanua E, Lim-Alba R (2017) Knowledge and practice of diabetic foot care in patients with diabetes at Chinese General Hospital and Medical Center. J ASEAN Fed EndocrSoc 32: 123-131.

18. Al-Hariri MT, Al-Enazi AS, Alshammari DM, Bahamdan AS, Al-Khtani SM, et al. (2017) Descriptive study on the knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding the diabetic foot. J TaibahUniv Med Sci 12: 492-496.

19. Policarpo NDS, Moura JRA, MeloJúnior EBD, Almeida PCD, Macêdo SFD, et al. (2014) Knowledge, attitudes and practices for the prevention of diabetic foot. Rev GauchaEnferm 35: 36-42.

20. Nural N, Hintistan S (2015) Examining the knowledge and attitudes of diabetic patients regarding foot care. Anatolian Journal of Nursing and Health Sciences18: 116-124.

21. Isil G (2018) Determining the risk factors affecting the development of diabetic foot disease and examining the relationship between treatment compliance and diabetic foot disease. Health Sciences University Bursa YüksekİhtisasTraining and Research Hospital.

22. Ahmed SA, Badi S, Tahir H, Ahmed MH, Almobarak AO (2019) Knowledge and practice of diabetic foot care in Sudan: A cross sectional survey. Diabetes MetabSyndr 13: 2431-2435.

23. Moradi A, Alavi SM, Salimi M, Nouhjah S, Shahvali EA (2019) The effect of short message service (SMS) on knowledge and preventive behaviors of diabetic foot ulcer in patients with diabetes type 2. Diabetes MetabSyndr 13: 1255-1260.

24. Kafaie P, Noorbala MT, Soheilikhah S, Rashidi M (2012) Evaluation of patients' education on foot self-care status in diabetic patients. Iran Red Crescent Med J 14: 829-832.

25. Alshammari ZJ, Alsaid LA, Parameaswari PJ, Alzahrani AA (2019) Attitude and knowledge about foot care among diabetic patients in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. J Family Med Prim Care 8: 2089-2094.

26. Kheir N, Greer W, Yousif A, Al Geed H, Al Okkah R (2011) Knowledge, attitude and practices of Qatari patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Int J Pharm Pract 19: 185-191.

27. Hamidah H, Santhna LP, Ruth Packiavathy RD, Suraya AM, Yap WC, et al. (2012) Foot care strategy for the newly diagnosed DM Type 2 patients with low educational and socio-economic background: A step towards future. ClinTer 163: 473-478.

28. Desalu OO, Salawu FK, Jimoh AK, Adekoya AO, Busari OA, et al. (2011) Diabetic foot care: Self reported knowledge and practice among patients attending three tertiary hospital in Nigeria. Ghana Med J 45: 60-65.

29. KirBiçer E (2017) Patient education for the prevention of diabetic foot wounds. Curre Res Diabetes Obes J 1: 555569.

30. Kalayci Ö (2016) Evaluation of knowledge, attitude and behavior of diabetic patients about foot care and diabetic foot. Department of Public Health, Institute of Health Sciences, BülentEcevit University, Turkey.